

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE V United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/714,040 11/17/2003 Donald G. Wheatley 1584-003 3219 26824 **EXAMINER** 7590 10/26/2005 **ALEX RHODES** GORDON, STEPHEN T UNIT NO. 9 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER **50168 PONTIAC TRAIL** WIXOM, MI 48393 3612

DATE MAILED: 10/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/714,040	WHEATLEY, DONALD G.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Stephen Gordon	3612		

	Stephen Gordon	3612			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 11 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN					
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		(36(a) and the appropria	te extension fee		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
NOTICE OF APPEAL		~			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since		
	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause		
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) 7 would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: <u>as per the final rejection</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>as per the final rejection</u> .					
Claim(s) rejected to as per the final rejection.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: as per the final re	ejection.				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).					
13. Other:	, ,	1/1/1			
		Stephen Gordon Primary Examiner	ispyos		
		Art 1 Init: 3612			

Art Unit: 3612

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's comments are noted. In general, with the exception of allowable claim 7, the amended claims raise new issues requiring further consideration and/or search. Moreover, upon very cursory review of the amended claims at least some of the claims appear to introduce new issues under section 112 first and second paragraphs. Note claim 2 appears to reference terms that are not present in the new base claim from which it now depends. Amended claim 12 now defines a screw through the circular wall portion. While the instant invention includes a screw 28, it does not appear that such screw is positioned as newly recited. This raises potential issues under section 112 - first paragraph for new matter and additionally under section 112 - second paragraph.

Additionally, applicant's comments regarding claim 1 as newly amended are noted. Applicant indicates that new amended claim 1 is now similar to allowed claim 7. While after cursory review it appears applicant in his amendments to claim 1 is potentially moving away from the teachings of Ragsdale, the claim is in several respects sufficiently different from claim 7 such that new consideration is warranted - note for example, newly amended claim 1 defines a threadably engaged fastener not referenced in claim 1 etc. Note also, the broadening amendments to line 2 of claim 1 via removal of the thin wall tube element would additionally involve at least new consideration.

In summary, claims 1, 2-6, and 12-14 as amended would require additional consideration and/or search. Moreover, at least some of the amended claims potentially introduce new issues under section 112. Upon cursory review, it appears applicant's amendments to claim 1 are at least beginning to move away from the teachings of the cited prior art. However, it is not deemed possible to indicate allowability of claim 1 at this time without further consideration deemed beyond the scope of consideration typically afforded after a final rejection.